IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UN	ITED STATES OF AMERICA,	0.42M 1244
	Plaintiff,) 8:13MJ241)
	vs.	DETENTION ORDER
RUBEN AVILA BARRAZA,		
	Defendant.	
A.		ant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform ders the above-named defendant detained
B.	The Court orders the defendant's detent X By a preponderance of the even conditions will reasonably assure to the conditions will reasonably assure to the conditions will reasonably assured to the	
C.	contained in the Pretrial Services Repor X (1) Nature and circumstances of X (a) The crime: a conspirate violation of 21 U.S.C. years imprisonment as (b) The offense is a crime (c) The offense involves wit:	the offense charged: by to distribute methamphetamine (Count I) in § 846 carries a minimum sentence of five and a maximum of forty years imprisonment. by of violence. a narcotic drug. a large amount of controlled substances, to
	may affect who is a second of the defendant of the defend	nt appears to have a mental condition which nether the defendant will appear. In that has no family ties in the area. In that has no steady employment. In that has no substantial financial resources. It is not a long time resident of the community. In that does not have any significant community of the defendant: In that has a history relating to drug abuse. In that has a significant prior criminal record. In that a prior record of failure to appear at

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

(c)	Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence. Other Factors: X The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation. The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted. X The Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement (BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal. Other:
	e are as follows: The nature of the charges in the Complaint.
In dete on the 3142(<u>X</u> (a)	emining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied of following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves: (1) A crime of violence; or (2) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or X (3) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more; or (4) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3) above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which is less than five years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release. That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because the Court finds that there is probable cause to believe: X (1) That the defendant has committed a controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more. (2) That the defendant has committed an offense under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and in relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous weapon or device).

- D. Additional Directives
 Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

 1. The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and
 - The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3

3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: August 14, 2013. BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken United States Magistrate Judge